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## I. Introduction and Background

### 1.1 School Community

West Central Middle School is located at 215 West South Street in Stronghurst, Illinois, and serves Grades 6, 7, and 8. Current enrollment at the Middle School as of March 7, 2020 is 156 students; of this, 74 are male and 82 are female. Sixth grade consists of 62 students; $7^{\text {th }}$ grade consists of 51 students; and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade consists of 43 . Sixth and Seventh grades are currently divided into three sections while the Eighth grade is composed of two sections. Thirty-two students (21\%) have Individualized Education Plans (IEPs). Ninety Three (60\%) students qualify for free or reduced lunch.

There are 30 total staff members at WCMS including: 15 full-time teachers, 2 shared district teachers, 2 special education teachers, a Title I teacher, 2 custodians, 3 kitchen staff, 2 secretaries, 3 paraprofessionals, 1 part-time psychologist, 1 part-time social worker, 1 administrator and a student support specialist.

As part of the "middle school philosophy," students attend a weekly Second Step program in which they are instructed on life skills. Grade level teachers have a designated team time in which they address student needs through interventions and discuss cross-curricular plans. We are making efforts to create a professional learning community in the middle school by opening up conversations between teachers as each team has access to one another's teaming notes, analyzing data to improve instruction, and improving our use of interventions. The schedule consists of eight 40 minute periods per day with a 1 hour and 10 minute 5 th period in which students attend lunch. 7th period consists of study hall/SSR, Choir and/or Band, Second Step as well as group intervention support.

The school offers a wide range of extracurricular activities. Some of these activities include basketball, baseball, track, football, volleyball, speech, science olympiad, scholastic bowl, drama, cheerleading, Harry Potter club and student council. The majority of our students are from Henderson County with a small percentage coming from Warren and McDonough Counties.

### 1.1 School Community (continued)

## Fast Facts 2018-2019 (from Illinois Report Card -

## Fast Facts



## Academic Success

All Illinois students in grades 3-8 take the IAR assessment each year. High school students take the SAT in English Language Arts and Math.



## Success by Student Group

This display shows IAR ELA \& Math performance levels for each student group. No data is shown for groups with fewer than 10 students.

## Engilsh Language Arts




## Student Attendance and Mobility




The 5Essentials Survey allows students in grades 6-12 and all teachers to share their perspectives on essential conditions for learning. The anonymous survey consists of 5 components.

- Most implementation
- More implementation
- Average implementation Response Rates Less implementation

Students 97\% Teachers $94 \%$

## Average Effective Leaders

Do principals and teachers implement a shared vision for success?
Average Collaborative Teachers
Do teachers collaborate to promote professional growth?

## Less Supportive Environment

Is the school safe, demanding, and supportive?

## Involved Families

Does the entire staff build strong external relationships?

### 1.2 Curriculum Data

The academic program includes the core areas of English (subdivided into language arts and literature), mathematics, social studies, science, and physical education. In addition to these areas, we currently offer non-core classes (exploratory) to all grade levels. The 6th grade students have exploratory classes in Computer Technology, Art, Current Events, and Music Appreciation. 7th grade students are offered Health for a semester, Common Sense Media, and Art. Eighth grade students have Art, Entrepreneurship, Careers, and Media in the 21st Century. "Choices" is a program taught one day a week for all grade levels through Bridgeway that addresses drug and alcohol awareness. All students are provided the opportunity to take band and chorus.

Intervention support labs have been implemented in for students who need extra assistance. We call this support lab "WIN" or "What I need." Students are identified from a variety of sources including assessment data, teacher recommendation, and grades. The labs are scheduled during Study Hall so that most students are able to attend.

All students are issued a district-owned 1:1 device (Google Chromebook). The middle school is making efforts to promote 21st century learning skills by preparing students for college and future careers. Four 8th grade classrooms are now 21st century classrooms, complete with whiteboard tables, flexible seating, and 40 inch monitors. Other classrooms have incorporated aspects of 21 st century classrooms including whiteboard tables, flexible seating, and Prowise Educational Boards. The sixth, seventh, and eighth grade students are taught by a core team of teachers. The curriculum is aligned to Common Core State Standards, and we continue to work toward vertical alignment across grades. Although a text is identified in some content areas, teachers are focusing more on the standards and assessment information to guide instructional planning. In the past, the text served as a basis of the curriculum; now it is viewed as a resource, along with a variety of other supplemental printed and electronic materials to provide support for the standards.

## Social Studies

The sixth grade focus is on Ancient Civilizations through the Middle Ages using the textbook as well as supplemental materials. The seventh and eighth grade, both study American History using the text Creating America. Both grades also supplement with the use of trade books and internet resources. Seventh grade students study Federal government. Eighth grade students study state government and the Illinois Constitution.

## Language Arts

Language Arts focuses on grammar and writing skills. Teachers draw from a variety of sources that focus on strengthening student skills that meet core standards. We have aligned our curriculum to emphasize writing skills and teach grammar and the mechanics of writing through writing practices. We focus on expository, persuasive, and narrative essays.

## Literature

The middle school Literature curriculum is aligned to the Common Core Standards. Teachers use the Glencoe textbook, nonfiction texts and articles, and novel-based instruction. The main focuses are on vocabulary, literary elements, and comprehension skills. Students identified as needing help with reading are provided supplemental assistance through the RTI program. These students are provided specific instruction to address their individual needs and are taught strategies to help them improve their comprehension skills. Students identified for RTI receive extra reading instruction during the school day.

## Science

The science curriculum is departmentalized into three disciplines: sixth grade earth science, seventh grade physical science, and eighth grade life science. This sequence will better prepare them for the standardized tests in science. The department's focus is on experiential and inquiry-based activities, using the Glencoe and Prentice Hall textbook series as supplements to labs. The science department is implementing the Next Generation Standards within their disciplines.

## Math

The middle school and high school have adopted the Integrated approach to mathematics. Under this curriculum, mathematical concepts are blended together to create a more real-world math curriculum. All grade levels at the middle school follow the Common Core Mathematics Standards, however, at the 7th grade level, students have the opportunity to take 7th grade Core Math or a 7th/8th Compacted course. At the 8th grade level, students have the opportunity to take 8th grade Core or the first high school integrated course, Math A. Students identified for RTI receive extra math instruction during the school day.

## Middle School-Parent Compact

Each year the middle school-parent compact is distributed at registration as part of the Student Handbook. The compact can be used to verify student and parent knowledge of the school's expectations.

### 1.3 School History

Prior to the 2005 school year, our district was comprised of Southern Community School District for the southern part of Henderson County and Union Community School District that served the northern part of the county.

- West Central Middle School is a 6-8 school.
- At the beginning of the 2006-2007 school year, WCMS adopted the middle school philosophy.
- The facility was constructed in 1925, with an addition being built in 1955.
- Upgrades are made regularly to meet all Life Safety Standards.


### 1.4 Overview of School Strengths and Challenges

## School Strengths

- Increased emphasis on enhancing professional practice identified by Charlotte Danielson.
- Use of the Middle School Concept allows for daily collaboration between staff members for student and curriculum issues.
- Address RTI (Response to Intervention) responsibilities through grade level teams and RtI coordinator.
- Provide targeted study halls for specific academic support to help students.
- Continue implementation of PBIS to support character education and an anti-bullying program through the use of Second Step Curriculum for all grades.
- Provide professional development activities focusing on identified areas of weakness.
- Continue emphasis on improving differentiation, data driven instruction, higher order thinking, and student engagement utilizing MAP Assessment data.
- Provide family and student access to student grades, assignments, discipline, lunch account and attendance through Skyward internet access.
- School and/or District Wide communication through Connect-Ed, to provide information to members of the community in a timely manner.
- Encouraged a positive and supportive environment for staff and faculty, emphasizing continued flexibility, collaboration, and communication.
- Provided increased technology in the classroom, 1:1 Chromebooks for all students, 21st Century classrooms in the 8th grade, SMART Boards, Prowise digital touchscreens, document cameras, computer tablets, e-readers at each grade level, and video cameras.
- The local FOCC provides support at all grade levels.
- Involves the community through: Annual Glow Run, Veterans Day Assembly, Angel Tree Program, and programs with the local nursing home.
- Current 8th grade class Meets and Exceeds on state issued standardized scores increased from 3\% in math as sixth graders to $16 \%$ in seventh grade. In reading the same scores were $16 \%$ in sixth grade to $34 \%$ in seventh.


## School Challenges

- Economic hardships in the area: $61 \%$ of the students are identified as low income.
- Continuing issues with student mobility (above 10\% for the past five years including 1\% in 2018-19).
- Student enrollment at the Middle school has decreased since the 2008-2009 school year.
- Inadequate time and trained personnel for small group instruction of social skills.
- Too few associates for special education students participating in general education classes (push-in) with $22 \%$ of the student population currently receiving IDEA services.
- Implementation of Common Core has created gaps in academic progress and assessment.
- Identifying curriculum and technological changes for IAR.
- Ongoing development of student growth model for teacher evaluation.
- Professional development opportunities to earn CEUs is limited.
- Need for additional staff (math/reading labs, behavioral specialist).
- Need 2 more full-time teachers.


### 1.5 School Improvement Team

Table 1 School Improvement Team for 2020-2021 School Year

| TEAM MEMBER | POSITION | \# OF YEARS ON TEAM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joe Peters | Principal | 3 |
| Nancy Chandler | Literature/Language Arts | 7 |
| Natalie Ensminger | Literature/Language Arts | 15 |
| Byron Helt | Social Studies/Science | 11 |
| Jeremy Hennings | Math | 11 |
| Teresa Stevenson | Special Education | 1 |
| Lisa Lox | Social Studies | 8 |

## II. Data Collection, Organization and Trends

### 2.1 Data Collection Methods

Table 2 Data Collection

| TYPE | TITLE | TIME FRAME | COMPLETION RATE | PURPOSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey | Parent/Guardian <br> Survey. 5 <br> Essentials for <br> 2019-2020 | October 2014 October 2015 October 2016 October 2017 Jan-Mar 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \% \\ & 66 \% \\ & 65 \% \\ & 27 \% \\ & 20 \% \end{aligned}$ | To identify strengths and challenges from parent/guardians. |
| Survey | Student Survey | October 2014 <br> October 2015 <br> October 2016 <br> October 2017 <br> January 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | To identify strengths and challenges from students. |
| Survey | Staff Survey | October 2014 <br> October 2015 <br> October 2016 <br> October 2017 <br> January 2019 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | To identify strengths and challenges from staff. |
| Documents | Teacher Certificates / Licences | $\begin{aligned} & 2014-2015 \\ & 2015-2016 \\ & 2016-2017 \\ & 2017-2018 \\ & 2018-2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | To determine that all teachers are certified and highly qualified to teach in their subject area |
| Documents | Fall Housing Report | 2005-2018 | NA | To identify individual students and special needs. |
| Documents | Illinois Interactive Report Card | 2015-2018 | NA | To identify school data as reported by IIRC. |
| Documents | MAP Assessment | 2019 | NA | To identify school data as reported by MAP assessment. |

### 2.2 District Assessment Data

Table 3 Adequate Yearly Progress Data (Based on PARCC/IAR Meets and Exceeds)

| 6th Grade - |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics - Major Content | West Central | 24\% | 23\% | 5\% | 3\% | 6.3\% |
|  | State | 29\% | 27\% | 28\% | 27\% | 25.3\% |
| Mathematics - Supporting Content | West Central | 25\% | 18\% | 9\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 30\% | 28\% | 29\% | NA | NA |
| Mathematics - Reasoning | West Central | 22\% | 24\% | 14\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 32\% | 31\% | 32\% | NA | NA |
| Mathematics - Modeling | West Central | 21\% | 21\% | 11\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 34\% | 31\% | 29\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Reading - Literacy | West Central | 37\% | 39\% | 9\% | 16\% | 12.5\% |
|  | State | 39\% | 39\% | 35\% | 34\% | 35\% |
| ELA - Reading Informational Text | West Central | 38\% | 29\% | 16\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 38\% | 35\% | 35\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Reading Vocabulary | West Central | 46\% | 34\% | 23\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 41\% | 35\% | 37\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Writing - Expression | West Central | 14\% | 18\% | 9\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 38\% | 39\% | 35\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Writing Conventions | West Central | 22\% | 18\% | 9\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 43\% | 39\% | 37\% | NA | NA |
| 7th Grade - |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Mathematics - Major Content | West Central | 19\% | 21\% | 15\% | 8\% | 15.8\% |
|  | State | 29\% | 27\% | 28\% | 31\% | 29.9\% |
| Mathematics - Supporting Content | West Central | 21\% | 21\% | 20\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 35\% | 28\% | 28\% | NA | NA |
| Mathematics - Reasoning | West Central | 26\% | 23\% | 15\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 35\% | 30\% | 29\% | NA | NA |
| Mathematics - Modeling | West Central | 21\% | 30\% | 19\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 32\% | 29\% | 30\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Reading - Literacy | West Central | 40\% | 38\% | 36\% | 13\% | 34.2\% |
|  | State | 42\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 41.2\% |
| ELA - Reading Information | West Central | 33\% | 38\% | 39\% | NA | NA |


|  | State | 43\% | 37\% | 39\% | NA | NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA - Reading Vocabulary | West Central | 40\% | 51\% | 32\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 44\% | 38\% | 39\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Writing - Expression | West Central | 17\% | 25\% | 19\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 42\% | 38\% | 44\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Writing Conventions | West Central | 19\% | 23\% | 19\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 47\% | 40\% | 43\% | NA | NA |
| 8th Grade - |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Mathematics - Major Content | West Central | 19\% | 17\% | 20\% | 17\% | 6\% |
|  | State | 33\% | 30\% | 30\% | 31\% | 32.6\% |
| Mathematics - Supporting Content | West Central | 22\% | 12\% | 30\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 37\% | 29\% | 31\% | NA | NA |
| Mathematics - Reasoning | West Central | 15\% | 24\% | 31\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 39\% | 34\% | 37\% | NA | NA |
| Mathematics - Modeling | West Central | 25\% | 32\% | 36\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 37\% | 33\% | 35\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Reading - Literacy | West Central | 39\% | 47\% | 36\% | 7\% | 13.4\% |
|  | State | 43\% | 42\% | 39\% | 36\% | 39.6\% |
| ELA - Reading Information | West Central | 47\% | 29\% | 41\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 43\% | 40\% | 39\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Reading Vocabulary | West Central | 43\% | 29\% | 33\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 45\% | 42\% | 40\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Writing - Expression | West Central | 28\% | 20\% | 20\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 43\% | 38\% | 37\% | NA | NA |
| ELA - Writing Conventions | West Central | 33\% | 27\% | 16\% | NA | NA |
|  | State | 46\% | 37\% | 37\% | NA | NA |

Table 4 Winter 2019-2020 MAP Assessments Summary

| Grade | WC Avg <br> National Norm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lo } \\ \text { \%ile }<21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LoAvg } \\ \text { \%ile 21-40 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ \% \text { ile 41-60 } \end{gathered}$ | HiAvg \%ile 61-80 | $\underset{\text { \%ile }>80}{\mathrm{Hi}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6th Grade Math | WC 208 Norm 222 | 40\% | 42\% | 17\% | 0\% | 2\% |
| 7th Grade Math | WC 215 Norm 225 | 43\% | 25\% | 21\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| 8th Grade Math | WC 221 Norm 229 | 25\% | 32\% | 27\% | 16\% | 0\% |
| 6th Grade Reading | WC 207 Norm 214 | 33\% | 22\% | 22\% | 17\% | 5\% |
| 7th Grade Reading | WC 215 Norm 216 | 19\% | 8\% | 42\% | 26\% | 6\% |
| 8th Grade Reading | WC 217 Norm 219 | 14\% | 20\% | 32\% | 23\% | 11\% |

Winter 2018-2019 MAP Assessments Summary

| Grade | WC Avg <br> National Norm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lo } \\ \text { \%ile <21 } \end{gathered}$ | LoAvg \%ile 21-40 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg } \\ \text { \%ile 41-60 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HiAvg } \\ \text { \%ile 61-80 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Hi} \\ \% \text { ile }>80 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6th Grade Math | WC 210 Norm 221 | 44\% | 28\% | 23\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| 7th Grade Math | WC 219 Norm 226 | 24\% | 29\% | 35\% | 12\% | 0\% |
| 8th Grade Math | WC 218 Norm 229 | 39\% | 25\% | 29\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| 6th Grade Reading | WC 208 Norm 214 | 29\% | 24\% | 31\% | 13\% | 2\% |
| 7th Grade Reading | WC 216 Norm 217 | 11\% | 17\% | 31\% | 26\% | 14\% |
| 8th Grade Reading | WC 214 Norm 219 | 25\% | 27\% | 17\% | 19\% | 12\% |

Table 5 MAP Math Goal Areas 2019-20

| Operations and Algebraic Thinking | Lo | LoAvg | Avg | Hi Avg | Hi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sixth Grade | 47\% | 36\% | 12\% | 5\% | 0 |
| Seventh Grade | 52\% | 19\% | 15\% | 13\% | 2\% |
| Eighth Grade | 20\% | 30\% | 27\% | 18\% | 5\% |
| Real \& Complex Number Systems |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | 32\% | 29\% | 32\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Seventh Grade | 31\% | 21\% | 23\% | 17\% | 8\% |
| Eighth Grade | 18\% | 30\% | 27\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | 46\% | 31\% | 20\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Seventh Grade | 43\% | 34\% | 9\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| Eighth Grade | 25\% | $32 \%$ | 25\% | 16\% | 2\% |
| Statistics and Probability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | 42\% | 36\% | 15\% | 7\% | 0\% |


| Seventh Grade | $42 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eighth Grade | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## MAP Math Goal Areas 2018-19

| Operations and Algebraic Thinking | Lo | LoAvg | Avg | Hi Avg | Hi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sixth Grade | 44\% | 28\% | 19\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Seventh Grade | 24\% | 38\% | 24\% | 15\% | 0\% |
| Eighth Grade | 34\% | 27\% | 23\% | 13\% | 4\% |
| Real \& Complex Number Systems |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | 37\% | 21\% | 30\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| Seventh Grade | 21\% | 18\% | 29\% | 24\% | 9\% |
| Eighth Grade | 36\% | 23\% | 29\% | 11\% | 2\% |
| Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | 51\% | 30\% | 12\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Seventh Grade | 24\% | 41\% | 24\% | 12\% | 0\% |
| Eighth Grade | 43\% | 23\% | 27\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Statistics and Probability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | 47\% | 33\% | 14\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Seventh Grade | 24\% | 44\% | 12\% | 21\% | 0\% |
| Eighth Grade | 36\% | 36\% | 21\% | 2\% | 5\% |

Table 6 2019-20 MAP Reading Goal Areas:

| Literary Text: Key Ideas and Details | Lo | LoAvg | Avg | HiAvg | Hi |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sixth Grade | $33 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Seventh Grade | $15 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Eighth Grade | $14 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Literary Text: Language, Craft, and Structure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | $28 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Seventh Grade | $21 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Eighth Grade | $16 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Informational Text: Language, Craft, and Structure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | $34 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Seventh Grade | $17 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Eighth Grade | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $20 \%$ |


| Vocabulary: Acquisition and Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sixth Grade | $33 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Seventh Grade | $15 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Eighth Grade | $11 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Informational Text: Key Ideas and Details |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | $31 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Seventh Grade | $21 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Eighth Grade | $14 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

## 2018-19 MAP Reading Goal Areas:

| Literary Text: Key Ideas and Details | Lo | LoAvg | Avg | HiAvg | Hi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sixth Grade | 29\% | 33\% | 24\% | 13\% | 0\% |
| Seventh Grade | 9\% | 23\% | 26\% | 29\% | 14\% |
| Eighth Grade | 34\% | 25\% | 17\% | 14\% | 10\% |
| Literary Text: Language, Craft, and Structure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | 33\% | 9\% | 24\% | 18\% | 16\% |
| Seventh Grade | 20\% | 14\% | 31\% | 20\% | 14\% |
| Eighth Grade | 29\% | 20\% | 17\% | 24\% | 10\% |
| Informational Text: Language, Craft, and Structure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | 29\% | 22\% | 24\% | 22\% | 2\% |
| Seventh Grade | 17\% | 14\% | 34\% | 23\% | 11\% |
| Eighth Grade | 24\% | 17\% | 36\% | 14\% | 10\% |
| Vocabulary: Acquisition and Use |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | 24\% | 24\% | 33\% | 11\% | 7\% |
| Seventh Grade | 14\% | 17\% | 34\% | 29\% | 6\% |
| Eighth Grade | 27\% | 19\% | 15\% | 20\% | 19\% |
| Informational Text: Key Ideas and Details |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sixth Grade | 38\% | 18\% | 24\% | 16\% | 4\% |
| Seventh Grade | 14\% | 11\% | 31\% | 23\% | 20\% |
| Eighth Grade | 25\% | 22\% | 22\% | 15\% | 15\% |

## 2019

- (Table 4) More students at each grade level scored at the average or higher level in Reading than in Math.
- (Table 4) The current seventh grade Class had $28 \%$ more students score in the average or higher level in Reading than they did the previous year
- (Table 5) Sixth grade has consistently scored lower compared to other grade levels in Math.
- (Table 6) Sixth grade has consistently scored lower compared to other grade levels in Reading.

2018

- (Table 3) In all areas WCMS students scored lower than the state averages.
- (Table 4) More students at each grade level scored at the average or higher level in reading than in math.
- (Table 5) The weakest overall area is Statistics and Probability while the strongest is Real and Complex Number Systems.
- (Table 6) Seventh grade saw the highest percentage of Average to High across all categories.
- (Table 3) In most areas WCMS students scored lower than the state average.
- (Table 3) 8th grade scores were closer to state scores than 6th and 7th.

2016

- (Table 3) WCMS trends are similar to State trends
- (Table 3) In most categories WCMS students scored lower than the state average.
- (Table 3) Reading scores were closer to the state average than writing and math at all three grade levels.


### 2.3 Demographic Data

Table 7 Discipline Referrals by Type of Infraction (End of Year Report)

| Major Referral Type | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 1 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 7}$ |
| Physical Aggression (including fighting) | 23 | 49 | 45 | 40 |
| Alcohol \& Drug Possession/Use | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 |
| Disruptive Behavior | 112 | 150 | 41 | 58 |
| Defiant | 13 | 4 | 34 | 33 |
| Disrespect/non-compliance | 73 | 91 | 94 | 189 |
| Inappropriate Behavior | 6 | 24 | 15 | 24 |
| Inappropriate Language/Comments | 22 | 25 | 65 | 43 |
| Technology Violation/Cell Phone | 20 | 9 | 14 | 12 |
| Unprepared | 39 | 59 | 1 | 58 |
| Other Categories | 61 | 18 | 86 | 20 |

*Re-created Table 7 in 2020
2018-2019 (Table 7)

- Disrespect/Non-Compliance, Disruptive Behavior, and Unprepared all increased during the 2018-19 school year.
- Inappropriate Comments and Other Categories were both reduced.

Table 8 Discipline Referral Totals by Grade and Gender (End of Year Report)

|  | Males <br> 2015 <br> 2016 | Males <br> 2016 <br> 2017 | Males <br> 2017 <br> 2018 | Males <br> 2018 <br> 2019 | Females <br> 2015 <br> 2016 | Females <br> 2016 <br> 2017 | Females <br> 2017 <br> 2018 | Females <br> 2018 <br> 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 176 | 80 | 29 | 59 | 34 | 16 | 8 | 33 |  |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 63 | 175 | 133 | 13 | 9 | 72 | 4 | 17 |  |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 67 | 57 | 178 | 312 |  | 20 | 25 | 51 | 53 |
| ALL | 306 | 312 | 340 | 384 |  | 63 | 116 | 63 | 103 |

*Re-created Table 8 in 2020

## 2018-2019 <br> (Table 8)

- Referrals among the males in 2018-19 was significantly higher than among the females.
- Discipline among the 8th grade was significantly higher than the other grades and also much higher than when they were in 6 th and 7 th grade.
- Discipline in the 7th grade for both boys and girls went down from when they were in sixth grade.

Table 9 General School Data (End of Year Report - IIRC)

|  | WCMS 2014-2015 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCMS } \\ 2015-2016 \end{gathered}$ |  | WCMS 2016-2017 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { WCMS } \\ 2017-2018 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WCMS } \\ & \text { 2018-2019 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total School Enrollment | 203 | 100\% | 185 | 100\% | 174 | 100\% | 154 | 100\% | 152 | 100\% |
| Average Daily Attendance | 191 | 94\% | 176 | 95\% | 164 | 94\% | 145 | 94\% | 142 | 93\% |
| Truancy Rate | 13 | 6.4\% | 7 | 3.8\% | 7 | 4\% | 17 | 11\% | 15 | 10\% |
| Mobility Rate | 24 | 11.9\% | 30 | 16\% | 19 | 11\% | 22 | 14\% | 17 | 11\% |
| Suspension Rate (in \& out of school) |  |  | 59 | 32\% | 42 | 24\% | 42 | 27\% | 52 | 34\% |
| Expulsion Rate | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Low-Income Rate | 119 | 58.6\% | 91 | 49\% | 96 | 55\% | 88 | 57\% | 92 | 61\% |
| Promotion Rate | 202 | 99.5\% | 184 | 99.5\% | 173 | 99.4\% | 154 | 100\% | 152 | 100\% |
| Retention Rate | 1 | 0.5\% | 1 | 0.5\% | 1 | 0.6\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Gender | $\begin{gathered} \text { F-94 } \\ \mathrm{M}-106 \end{gathered}$ | X |  | X | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}-101 \\ & \mathrm{M}-78 \end{aligned}$ | x | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F-69 } \\ & \text { M-85 } \end{aligned}$ | X | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F-69 } \\ & \text { M-69 } \end{aligned}$ | X |
| White | 192 | 94.6\% | 178 | 96.2\% | 164 | 94.3\% | 145 | 94.2\% | 144 | 94.7\% |
| Black | 1 | 0.5\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Hispanic | 5 | 2.5\% | 2 | 1.1\% | 2 | 1.1\% | 2 | 1.3\% | 3 | 2.0\% |
| Asian | x | x | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| American Indian | 1 | 0.5\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0.6\% | 1 | 0.6\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Pacific Islander | 5 | 2.5\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0.6\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Two or More Races | 4 | 2\% | 5 | 2.7\% | 7 | 4\% | 5 | 3.2\% | 5 | 3.3\% |

(Table 9)

- Student enrollment decreased by two students from 2071-18.
- Truancy and mobility rates both went down slightly.
- The low income rate has steadily increased 2015-16.


## 2017-2018 <br> (Table 9)

- Overall student enrollment dropped 12.5\% from 2016-17.
- The truancy rate increased from 2016-17.
- The mobility rate increased from $11 \%$ to $14 \%$.


## 2016-2017 (Table 9)

- School enrollment continues to decline.
- The percentage of low income students increased from the previous year.


## 2015-2016 (Table 9)

- School enrollment decreased from 203 students in the 2014-2015 school year to 185 students in the 2015-2016 school year.
- Mobility rate increased from $11.9 \%$ in the 2014-2015 school year to $16 \%$ in the 2015-2016 school year.

Table 10 Enrollment Data (Fall Housing Report)

|  | WCMS <br> $2015-2016$ |  | WCMS <br> $2016-2017$ |  | WCMS <br> $2017-2018$ |  | WCMS <br> $2018-2019$ |  | WCMS <br> $2019-2020$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ | $\#$ | $\%$ |
| Total | 184 | $100 \%$ | 174 | $100 \%$ | 154 | $100 \%$ | 152 | $100 \%$ | 151 | $100 \%$ |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 62 | $34 \%$ | 56 | $32 \%$ | 35 | $23 \%$ | 47 | $31 \%$ | 60 | $39.7 \%$ |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 64 | $35 \%$ | 61 | $35 \%$ | 56 | $36 \%$ | 37 | $24 \%$ | 50 | $33.1 \%$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 58 | $32 \%$ | 58 | $33 \%$ | 63 | $41 \%$ | 68 | $45 \%$ | 41 | $27.1 \%$ |

## 2019-2020 <br> (Table 10)

- The enrollment of the 2019-20 eighth grade has increased by six students since sixth grade.
- The enrollment of the 2019-20 seventh grade increased by three students since 2018-19.


## 2018-2019 (Table 10)

- Enrollment went down by two students from 2017-2018. This was the smallest decline over the last five years.

2017-2018 (Table 10)

- Enrollment has declined over the past five years.


## 2016-2017 (Table 10)

- Enrollment has declined over the past five years.

Table 11 Student IEP Subgroup Enrollment (Fall Housing Report)

|  | 2015-2016 |  | 2016-2017 |  | 2017-2018 |  | 2018-2019 |  | 2019-2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Total Building Population | 184 | 100 | 174 | 100 | 154 | 100\% | 152 | 100\% | 151 | 100\% |
| Total Special Education* | 25 | 13.6 | 29 | 16.7 | 28 | 18\% | 32 | 21\% | 33 | 21.7\% |
| Intellectual Disability* | 1 | 4 | 2 | 6.9 | 4 | 14\% | 4 | 12.5\% | 1 | 3\% |
| Cognitive Disability* | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |


| Hearing Impaired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speech/Lang Impairment | 2 | 8 | 4 | 13.8 | 3 | 10.7\% | 5 | 16\% | 4 | 13\% |
| Visual Impairment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3.1\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Emotionally Disturbed | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3.4 | 1 | 3.6\% | 1 | 3.1\% | 2 | 6\% |
| Orthopedic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Other Health Impairment | 4 | 8 | 4 | 13.8 | 5 | 17.9\% | 6 | 18.8\% | 6 | 19\% |
| Specific LD | 13 | 52 | 15 | 51.7 | 13 | 46.4\% | 15 | 46.9\% | 20 | 59\% |
| Multiple Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Deaf/Blindness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Autism | 3 | 12 | 2 | 6.9 | 2 | 7.1\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Traumatic Brain Injury | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3.4 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |

*Cognitive and Mental Disabilities are known as Intellectual Disabilities (as of 2014-2015).
*Sub-group population percentages are based on the total special education population.

## 2019-2020 Table 11)

- The percentage of Special Education students has continued to increase at WCMS.
- Emotionally Disturbed students increased from 3.1\% to 6\% between 2018-19 and 2019-20.


## 2018-2019 (Table 11)

- The percentage of special education students was at a high at the beginning of the 2018-19 school year.
- Speech/Language saw an increase in percentage from 2017-18 to 2018-19 other areas within the special education department have remained consistent.


## 2017-2018 (Table 11)

- Percentages are consistent with previous years.


## 2016-2017 (Table 11)

- The number of students in special education has increased over the past five years.
- The number of students receiving speech services has increased over the past three years.


### 2.4 Program Data

Table 12 Educator Data (Includes all Middle School Staff except Administrators)

|  | $2015-2016$ | $2016-2017$ | $2017-2018$ | $2018-2019$ | $2019-2020$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Full-Time Teachers | 15 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| Total Part-Time Teachers | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Average Years Teaching <br> (total years taught) | 11 | 12 | 11.6 | 12.8 | NA |
| Teachers New to Building | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| First Year Teachers | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Teachers with M.A. \& Above (\%) | $33 \%$ | $35 \%$ | 0 | $31.4 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Cert. | 0 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Caucasian Teachers (\%) | $28 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Male Teachers (\%) |  | $26 \%$ |  |  |  |


| Female Teachers (\%) | 72\% | 71\% | 77\% | 74\% | 74\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highly qualified Teachers (\%) | 100\% | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total Paraprofessionals | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Total Counselors | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Librarians | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Total Social Workers/Psychologists | 2 part-time | 2 part-time | 2 part-time | 2 part-time | 2 part-time |
| Total Other Staff | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Total Administrators | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## 2019-2020

(Table 12)

- The average number of years is no longer available in the Illinois Report Card.
- One teacher was replaced after 2018-19.


## 2018-2019 (Table 12)

- The average number of years teaching increased by 1.2 years.
- The number of teacher's with MA or above decreased.


## 2017-2018

(Table 12)

- The total number of full-time and part-time teachers has decreased over the past five years.
- The number of teachers with MA or Above has increased over the past five years.


## 2016-2017

(Table 12)

- The total number of teachers has decreased over the past five years.

Table 13 Professional Development Data (Spring 2019-Spring 2020)

| Topic | Provider | Hours | Date | Participants | Grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Believe You Can Believe They Can | WC235 | 12 | $11 / 21 / 19-11 / 22 / 19$ |  | all |
| WITCON |  | 14 | $6 / 19 / 20-6 / 21 / 20$ | 1 | all |
| Illinois Computing Educators (ICE) <br> Conference |  | 12 | $2 / 26 / 19-2 / 27 / 19$ | 2 | all |
| Illinois Reading Conference |  | 12 | $10 / 1 / 19-10 / 2 / 19$ |  | all |
| MAP Training |  |  |  | all |  |
| IMEC |  | 1 | $2 / 06 / 20$ | 2 | all |
| Prowise Training |  | 1 | $2 / 13 / 20$ | 1 | all |
| Prowise training |  |  |  | all |  |

### 2.5 PERCEPTION DATA

## Student Survey 2019-2020

I am able to get help with completing and understanding my school work outside of class.
149 responses


I feel I have enough access to teachers to get help with my school work.
149 responses


I would like to have peer tutors to help me complete and understand my school work.
149 responses


Strongly Agree
Agree
Disagree
Strongly Disagree

Adults who work in my school treat students with respect.
149 responses


Strongly Agree

Disagree
Strongly Disagree

In my school, we talk about ways to help us understand and control our emotions.
149 responses


Adults in this school have high expectations for me in my behavior.
149 responses


Adults in this school have high expectations for me in my school work. 149 responses


Strongly Agree

- Agree

Disagree
Strongly Disagree

Adults in my school seem to work well with one another. 149 responses

Strongly Agree
Agree
Disagree
Strongly Disagree

In my school, we have learned ways to resolve disagreements peacefully.
149 responses


I am proud to be a student at West Central.
149 responses


## Student Survey Observations

- $39 \%$ of students have not learned ways to resolve disagreements (down from $44 \%$ last year).
- $41 \%$ of students say we do not talk about emotions.
- $90 \%$ of students feel that adults at school have high expectations for their behavior and $94 \%$ for their school work.
- $74 \%$ of the students are proud to be a student at WCMS (down from $84 \%$ from last year).

Parent/Guardian Survey 2017-2018

## (will use 5Essentials Survey moving forward)

How often do you access your child's Skyward?
37 responses


What would assist you in helping your child with school work?
37 responses


Do you feel West Central Middle School is meeting and/or exceeding the needs of your child?
37 responses


If bullying has been an issue for your student, do you feel you have access to discussing the problems with school personnel?
37 responses


I am proud my child is a student at West Central Middle School.
37 responses


## Parent/Guardian Survey Observations

- Of the parents surveyed, the resource that would help them the most with assisting their child with schoolwork was knowing good websites to find information.
- $16 \%$ of parents surveyed feel that they do not have access to discussing bullying problems with school personnel.
- $92 \%$ of parents surveyed feel proud that their student is at West Central Middle School.

Staff Survey 2018-2019

I know what is expected from me at work.
16 responses

Strongly Disagree

- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

I have the materials and equipment I need to be successful in my position.


In the last seven days, I have received recognition or praise from (check all that apply):

## 16 responses



There is someone at work who encourages my development (check all that apply):
16 responses


My main concern(s) in our building relate to (check all that apply):
16 responses


At work, my opinions seem to matter (check all that apply).
16 responses

I have a voice in the decision-making process in the following (check all that apply):
16 responses


West Central Middle School is a cohesive educational team.
16 responses


I receive adequate feedback on my performance as a teacher.

Strongly Disagree
Disagree
Neutral
Agree
Strongly Agree

At work, I have had opportunities to learn and grow.

16 responses



I have opportunities to participate in professional development outside of the district.
16 responses


I believe the rules for student behavior are consistently enforced in the building.
16 responses


```
                                    Strongly Disagree
                                    Disagree
                                    Neutral
                                    Agree
- Strongly Agree
```

I have access to reliable technology to succeed in my job.
16 responses


Strongly Disagree

- Disagree

Neutral

- Agree
- Strongly Agree

I am proud to be a teacher at West Central.
16 responses


## Staff Survey Observations

- $100 \%$ of faculty and staff understand their job expectations.
- $85 \%$ of faculty and staff feel they have enough access to technology.
- Student behavior, adequate staffing, and building maintenance/structure are the top 3 staff concerns at West Central Middle School.
- One staff member feels that their opinions do not matter and that they do not have a voice in the decision-making process at the middle school.


## III. Problem Statements and Hypothesis

## Table 14 Patterns of Strengths

|  | Data |
| :--- | :---: |
| There is a positive work environment among staff. | Staff Survey |
| Staff is flexible and open to change. | Staff Survey |
| All students have access to a 1:1 device (Google Chromebook) in the classroom. | Staff Survey |
| 83\% of students feel they are able to get help with completing and understanding <br> school work outside of class. | Student Survey |
| Teachers are incorporating more technology into their lessons. | Walkthrough Data |
| Attendance rate has remained steady from 2010-2018 | Table 7 |
| $87 \%$ of parents surveyed feel that WCMS is meeting/exceeding the needs of their <br> child. | Parent Survey |
| $90 \%$ of students feel the adults at WCMS have high expectations for behavior and <br> school work. | Student Survey |
| $92 \%$ of parents surveyed are proud to have their child attend WCMS. | Parent Survey |

## Table 15 Pattern of Challenges

|  | Data |
| :--- | :---: |
| Math and Reading scores on standardized tests are below the state average. | Table 3 |
| Low-income students percentage (57\%) has increased from 2015-2016. | End of Year Report |
| Lack of consistent state standardized assessment data/tools. | ISAT/PARCC/IAR |
| Student enrollment has dropped since 2011. | End of Year Report |
| Issues with student behaviors, engagement and motivation. | Staff Survey |
| Students are seeking social/emotional assistance in greater numbers from 2014 - Worker Data <br> 2018. | Discipline Records |
| The number of office referrals remains a concern. |  |

Table 16

## Social/Emotional Needs

Problem Statement 1:
According to staff surveys, office referrals, and social worker data, there is an increased need to support students with social/emotional and mental health issues.

| Hypothesis | Accept/ <br> Reject | Data Source <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | Data Source <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | Data Source <br> $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| There are consistent requests to see the <br> Student Support Specialist. | Accept | Student <br> Support <br> Specialist Data | Teaming <br> minutes | Staff survey |
| The number of disruptions in the learning <br> environment remain consistently higher <br> than optimal. | Accept | Office referrals | Teaming <br> minutes | Staff survey |
| The staff is not trained sufficiently to deal <br> with the severity of student social and <br> emotional difficulties. | Accept | Teaming <br> minutes | Social worker <br> data | Staff survey |

## Student Achievement

Problem Statement 2:
With the revision of standards, exit outcomes, new staff, and assessment changes, there is a continued need to align curriculum per Common Core guidelines and IAR assessments.

| Hypothesis | Accept/ <br> Reject | Data Source <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | Data Source <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | Data Source <br> $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New teachers and veteran teachers are <br> reassigned to fill scheduling needs. | Accept | Staff Listing | Teacher <br> Schedule | Skyward |
| Student Interventions for at-risk students <br> will expand through the Rtl process, MAP <br> Assessments, Standardized scores, and <br> classroom achievement. | Accept | MAP Test | IAR Test | Teaming <br> Minutes |
| Teachers continue to incorporate 21st <br> Century skills in their instruction. | Accept | Staff survey | SIP Days <br> (technology day) | Teaming <br> Minutes |

## IV. Goals, Strategies, and Integrated Action Plan

Table 17 Strategies, Baseline Data, Annual Targets and Documentation

## Improvement Goal 1:

Social/Emotional Needs

## Current Conditions and Data Sources:

According to staff surveys, office referrals, and social worker data, there is a continued need to support students with social/emotional and mental health issues.

Specific Action: We will increase the resources related to social/emotional needs for both our staff and students.

| Specific Step | Timeline | Person/Group <br> Responsible | Estimated <br>  <br> Funding <br> Source | Evaluation/ <br> Evidence of <br> Implementation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Continue to utilize Second Step <br> to incorporate a uniform by <br> grade level curriculum to <br> address social/emotional <br> needs. | August <br> 2020-May 2021 | PBIS Team | none | Evidence of Lesson <br> Completion (by <br> unit/lesson) |
| Create small group sessions for <br> social/emotional support. | August 2020- <br> May 2021 | Administrative <br> Team/Staff/Social <br> Worker | none | Teaming Notes |$|$| Administrative |
| :--- |
| Team/Staff/Social |
| Worker | none | Teaming Notes |
| :--- |

## Improvement Goal 2:

Increase student achievement on MAP Reading and Math assessments so that $85 \%$ of all students will achieve their expected growth targets for each MAP assessment.

Current Conditions and Data Sources: WCMS is in the second year of utilizing MAP data. Once $85 \%$ of students meet their expected growth targets WCMS will be able to focus on students exceeding their growth targets.

Specific Action: We will utilize the data provided by the MAP assessment to address weak areas for each grade level/content area in the classroom and to address more individualized struggling areas through the Rtl program.

| Specific Step | Timeline | Person/Group <br> Responsible | Estimated <br>  <br> Funding <br> Source | Evaluation/ <br> Evidence of <br> Implementation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reevaluate the 7th Hour Study <br> Hall Structure to make better <br> use of time. | Before <br> $2020-2021$ <br> School Year | Principal/Staff | none | Teacher schedules |
| Revise Rtl Intervention student <br> schedule | Before <br> $2020-2021$ <br> School Year | Principal/Rtl <br> Coordinator | none | Google Sheet |
| Identify/revise/create <br> strategies/lessons to address <br> weak areas for each grade <br> level/content area. | April 2019- <br> May 2020. | Teachers, Rtl <br> Coordinator | none | MAP Assessment |
| Growth targets |  |  |  |  |

Percent of Students That Met or Exceeded Expected MAP Score. (Winter 19-20)

| 6th Grade Math | $48 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6th Grade Reading | $45 \%$ |
| 7th Grade Math | $63 \%$ |
| 7th Grade Reading | $60 \%$ |
| 8th Grade Math | $47 \%$ |
| 8th Grade Reading | $53 \%$ |

Table 18 Professional Development Schedule 2019-2020 (Currently TBD)

| Planned Professional Development |  |  | Timeline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Format $\quad$ Presenter(s) | Topic | August 15 | Teacher <br> Institute |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Opening Day for all Staff | Mrs. Markey, Mr. <br> Peters |  |
| ALICE Training | Nopember 27 <br> and 22 | SIP Day |
| Sechnology Workshop | Teacher <br> Institute | Various |
| Curriculum Based Reading | January 6 | Teacher <br> Institute |
| MAP Data | February 13 | SIP Day Cangro |
| ALICE Active Shooter Drill | March 10 | SIP Day |
| Personalized Professional Development | April 9 | SIP Day |


| Continuous Professional Development |
| :--- |
| Differentiation |
| Data Informed Instruction |
| Student Engagement |
| Higher Order Thinking Skills/Depth of Knowledge |
| Curriculum Guides |
| Rtl / MTSS |
| Effective Meetings |
| Danielson Framework |
| Surveys/Results |

## V. Reflection, Evaluation, Refinement

### 5.1 School Improvement Team Meeting Schedule

- The School Improvement Team will meet at least twice per month during the academic year.


### 5.2 Monitoring

The School Improvement Team will:

- Monitor progress toward results, goals, and activities of the plan monthly using Monitor/Evaluation Tool.
- Evaluate the implementation of the school's plan.
- Review the strategies/actions of the SIP quarterly.
- Analyze annual surveys conducted at the school.
- Help coordinate professional development
- Continue to adhere to effective meeting management guidelines.

Table 19 Monitoring Schedule

| Monitoring | Responsible | Monthly | Quarterly | Semi-annually | Annually |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitoring goals and activities | teachers, school coordinators, SIP team | April-March |  |  |  |
| Evaluation, implementation | SIP team, teachers, consultants |  | Sept, Dec, Apr, June |  |  |
| Evaluate students' results | teachers, SIP team |  | Sept, Dec, Apr, June |  |  |
| Review School Improvement Plan (SIP) | SIP team, teachers, support staff parents | April-March |  |  |  |
| Revise School Improvement Plan (SIP) | SIP team | April-March |  |  |  |
| Review tests | counselors, SIP team, teachers, consultants |  |  | May, September |  |
| Monitor programs | SIP team |  | Sept, Dec, Apr, June |  |  |
| Report to stakeholders | SIP team |  |  |  | June |
| Review strategies/actions | SIP team, teachers |  | Sept, Dec, Apr, June |  |  |
| Analyze surveys of stakeholders | SIP team |  | Sept, Dec, Apr, June |  |  |
| Adhere to effective meeting guidelines | SIP team | August-June |  |  |  |

### 5.3 Communication Plan

The West Central Middle School believes that the success of the School Improvement Plan is contingent upon efforts of all members of the community. The community includes school employees, students, families, community partners, and the entire West Central School District community. In order for the improvement plan to have a positive impact on the students' achievements, timely communication of the plan and its components needs to be established.

- Have copies of the School Improvement Plan available at registration, plus a folder/flyer stating school's strengths and goals.
- Regular conferences (one fall semester) with students, teachers, and adult family members organized around a review of student work and academic progress
- Daily updates on the school website for activities and announcements
- Use of social media to communicate school successes
- Post School Improvement Plan and progress report on the school website


## VI. APPENDIX (UNUSED STANDARDIZED ASSESSMENT \& BEHAVIOR DATA)

Note: The following data will not be used moving forward with the School Improvement Process. It will be stored in the appendix for reference.

Adequate Yearly Progress Data (Based on ISAT Meets and Exceeds)

|  | West Central 2007 | West <br> Central <br> 2008 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { West } \\ \text { Central } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | West Central 2010 | West Central 2011 | West Central 2012 | West Central 2013 | West Central 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading - All | 75\% | 95\% | 79\% | 76\% | 92\% | 71\% | 54\% | 51\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Reading - Low Inc/ } \\ \text { Others } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 61 \% \\ & 86 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 93 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 79 \% \\ & 79 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 72 \% \\ & 81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 86 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 67 \% \\ & 77 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 47 \% \\ & 61 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 39 \% \\ & 65 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Reading - IEP/ } \\ \text { Others } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 \% \\ & 91 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 82 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \% \\ & 87 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 95 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \% \\ 77 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & 59 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - All | 76\% | 91\% | 81\% | 91\% | 90\% | 73\% | 62\% | 54\% |
| Math - Low Inc Others | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 68 \% \\ & 82 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 82 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 76 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 83 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 85 \% \\ & 64 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50 \% \\ & 76 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33 \% \\ & 77 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \% \\ 100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \% \\ & 90 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 97 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 94 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \% \\ 79 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \% \\ 65 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 63\% | 66\% | 68\% | DNT | DNT | DNT | DNT |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading - All | 76\% | 76\% | 86\% | 77\% | 77\% | 85\% | 35\% | 58\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Reading - Low Inc/ } \\ \text { Others } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 68 \% \\ & 81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 61 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 70 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 74 \% \\ & 81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 73 \% \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30 \% \\ & 48 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 54 \% \\ & 64 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Reading - IEP/ } \\ \text { Others } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & 81 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & 84 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 55 \% \\ & 91 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & 87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & 87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 89 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| Math - All | 81\% | 79\% | 89\% | 82\% | 88\% | 88\% | 45\% | 55\% |
| Math - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 74 \% \\ & 85 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \% \\ & 91 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 80 \% \\ & 94 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 90 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & 89 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & 90 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \% \\ & 52 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & 71 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 47 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 42 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| Science - All | 91\% | 85\% | 89\% | 81\% | 87\% | 88\% | 73\% | 87\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Science - Low Inc/ } \\ \text { Others } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 87 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 79 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 88 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & 85 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 87 \% \\ & 86 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 77 \% \\ & 95 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & 76 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 85 \% \\ & 89 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Science - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 73 \% \\ & 95 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 43 \% \\ & 93 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 55 \% \\ & 94 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 \% \\ & 90 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 67 \% \\ & 90 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 92 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading - All | 74\% | 83\% | 84\% | 82\% | 82\% | 84\% | 58\% | 42\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Reading - Low Inc/ } \\ \text { Others } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 58 \% \\ & 89 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65 \% \\ & 90 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 78 \% \\ & 89 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 71 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 79 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 84 \% \\ & 84 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 49 \% \\ & 70 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 45 \% \\ & 35 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Reading - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \% \\ & 86 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 40 \% \\ & 88 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42\% } \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| Math - All | 65\% | 75\% | 81\% | 82\% | 76\% | 85\% | 38\% | 36\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Math - Low Inc/ } \\ \text { Others } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \% \\ & 78 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \% \\ & 81 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & 89 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 71 \% \\ & 89 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 69 \% \\ & 83 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 81 \% \\ & 89 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & 46 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & 29 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Math - IEP/ } \\ \text { Others } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & 80 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \% \\ & 81 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & 85 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33 \% \\ & 95 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| Writing | 61\% | 67\% | 60\% | 71\% | DNT | DNT | DNT | DNT |

## Observations:

- Current $6^{\text {th }}$ graders reading scores have regressed the past three years from $85 \%$ meeting and exceeding to $51 \%$.
- Math scores for the current $6^{\text {th }}$ graders have regressed the past three years from $96 \%$ meeting and exceeding to $54 \%$.
- Current $7^{\text {th }}$ graders reading scores have regressed the past four years from $75 \%$ meeting and exceeding to $42 \%$.
- There was an increase from 2013 to 2014 for the $20148^{\text {th }}$ graders on reading scores. The number of students meeting and exceeding went from $35 \%$ to $42 \%$ meeting or exceeding.
- Math scores for the current $7^{\text {th }}$ graders have regressed the past four years from $96 \%$ meeting and exceeding to $45 \%$.
- Math scores for the current $8^{\text {th }}$ graders have regressed the past three years from $96 \%$ meeting and exceeding to $54 \%$.
- The current $8^{\text {th }}$ graders identified as being in the Low Income category, have had the percentage of students meeting or exceeding in math regress the past 3 years. ( $85 \%$ to $38 \%$ )
*Shaded areas in tables are non-testing years for students. Numbers given are the percentage who meet and/or exceed standards in the total class for the given year. In 2012-2013 the state cut-scores were raised.


## Class of 2014

| ISAT/PSAE <br> Area <br> Tested | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{(}^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> $\mathbf{( 1 0 ^ { \text { th } } )}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> $\mathbf{( 1 1 ^ { \text { th } } )}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading |  | $79 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $82 \%$ |  |  | $71 \%$ |  |
| Math |  | $91 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $82 \%$ |  |  | $51 \%$ |  |
| Writing |  |  | $42 \%$ | $63 \%$ |  | $71 \%$ |  |  | DNT |  |
| Science |  | $92 \%$ |  |  | $89 \%$ |  |  |  | $48 \%$ |  |

Class of 2015

| ISAT/PSAE <br> Area <br> Tested | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ \left(3^{\text {rd }}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \left(4^{\text {th }}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2008 \\ \left(5^{\text {th }}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \left(6^{\text {th }}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \left(7^{\text {th }}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \left(8^{\mathrm{th}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 \\ & \left(9^{\text {th }}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 \\ & \left(10^{\text {th }}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & \left(11^{\text {th }}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \\ & \left(12^{\text {th }}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 65\% | 74\% | 79\% | 79\% | 77\% | 82\% |  |  |  |  |
| Math | 89\% | 91\% | 92\% | 81\% | 82\% | 76\% |  |  |  |  |
| Writing |  |  | 43\% | 65\% |  | DNT |  |  |  |  |
| Science |  | 83\% |  |  | 81\% |  |  |  |  |  |

Class of 2016

| ISAT/PSAE <br> Area <br> Tested | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{( 9}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> $(\mathbf{1 0})$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ <br> $\left.\mathbf{( 1 2 ^ { \text { th } } )}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $62 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $84 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Math | $86 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $85 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Writing |  |  | $70 \%$ | $68 \%$ |  | DNT |  |  |  |  |
| Science |  | $87 \%$ |  |  | $87 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Class of 2017

| ISAT/PSAE <br> Area <br> Tested | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> $\left(6^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> $\left(8^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ <br> $\left(10^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $69 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $58 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Math | $84 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $38 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Writing |  |  | $67 \%$ | DNT |  | DNT |  |  |  |  |
| Science |  | $80 \%$ |  |  | $88 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Class of 2018

| ISAT/PSAE <br> Area <br> Tested | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ <br> $\mathbf{( 1 2 ^ { \text { th } } )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $70 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $35 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math | $81 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $45 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writing | $51 \%$ | DNT | DNT | DNT | DNT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Science |  | $82 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Class of 2019

| ISAT/PSAE <br> Area <br> Tested | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> $\left(6^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ <br> $\left(10^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 1 ^ { \text { th } } )}\right.$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $84 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $62 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writing | $44 \%$ | DNT | DNT | DNT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Science |  | $92 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Class of 2020

| ISAT/PSAE <br> Area <br> Tested | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{7}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $73 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $66 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $77 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writing | DNT | DNT | DNT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Science |  | $87 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Class of 2021

| ISAT/PSAE <br> Area <br> Tested | 2012 <br> $\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | 2013 <br> $\left(4^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2014 <br> $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2015 <br> $\left(6^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2016 <br> $\left(7^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2017 <br> $\left(8^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2018 <br> $\left(\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ <br> $\left(10^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ <br> $\left(11^{\text {th }}\right)$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $84 \%$ | $58 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math | $88 \%$ | $73 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writing | DNT | DNT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Science |  | $85 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Class of 2022

| ISAT/PSAE <br> Area <br> Tested | 2013 <br> $\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | 2014 <br> $\left(4^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2015 <br> $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2016 <br> $\left(6^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2017 <br> $\left(7^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2018 <br> $\left(8^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2019 <br> $\left(\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2020 <br> $\left(10^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2021 <br> $\left(\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}\right)$ | 2022 <br> $\left(12^{\text {th }}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | $63 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Math | $62 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Writing | DNT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## DNT = Did Not Test due to cutbacks in state spending

Table 3
Adequate Yearly Progress Data (AYP)
Based on ISAT and PSAE Meets and Exceeds
All Subjects \& Subgroups required to be at $92.5 \%$ or above

|  | West Central $2009$ | West Central $2010$ | West Central 2011 | West Central $2012$ | West Central $2013$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Target | 70\% | 77.5\% | 85\% | 92.5\% | 92.5\% |
| 3rd Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading -All | 70\% | 84\% | 73\% | 84\% | 63\% |
| Reading - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & 63 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \% \\ & 84 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & 77 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Reading - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & 75 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \% \\ & 87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 78 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & 86 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & 67 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - All | 82\% | 93\% | 95\% | 88\% | 62\% |
| Math - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & 84 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & 76 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \% \\ & 86 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100\% } \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & 65 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Writing | 52\% | 44\% | DNT | DNT | DNT |
| 4th Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading - All | 81\% | 75\% | 89\% | 85\% | 58\% |
| Reading - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66\% } \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 77 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 62 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Reading - IEP <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \% \\ & 86 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & 57 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - All | 95\% | 93\% | 99\% | 96\% | 73\% |
| Math - Low Inc Others | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \% \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & 76 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100\% } \\ & \text { 100\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & 72 \% \end{aligned}$ |


| Science - All | 91\% | 82\% | 89\% | 87\% | 85\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81\% } \\ & \text { 83\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & 88 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & 62 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Science-IEP Others | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & 88 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading - All | 72\% | 85\% | 78\% | 89\% | 66\% |
| Reading - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & 76 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \% \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \% \\ & 61 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \% \\ & 75 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Reading - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \% \\ & 76 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & 86 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \% \\ & 79 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & 71 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - All | 88\% | 93\% | 87\% | 94\% | 77\% |
| Math - Low Inc Others | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84\% } \\ & \text { 68\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86\% } \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & 87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Writing | 43\% | 67\% | DNT | DNT | DNT |
| $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading - All | 79\% | 76\% | 92\% | 71\% | 50\% |
| Reading - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \\ & 79 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \% \\ & 81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & 77 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \% \\ & 60 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Reading - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \% \\ & 87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & 77 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & 67 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - All | 81\% | 91\% | 90\% | 73\% | 62\% |
| Math - Low Inc Others | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 71 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \\ & 79 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 75 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Writing | 66\% | 68\% | DNT | DNT | DNT |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading - All | 86\% | 77\% | 77\% | 85\% | 35\% |
| Reading - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & \text { 83\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \% \\ & 81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & 48 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Reading - IEP/ | 55\% | 10\% | 25\% | 40\% | 14\% |


| Others | 91\% | 87\% | 87\% | 89\% | 37\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math - All | 89\% | 82\% | 88\% | 88\% | 45\% |
| Math - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \% \\ & 71 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20\% } \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 48 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Science - All | 89\% | 81\% | 87\% | 88\% | 73\% |
| Science - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & 86 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \% \\ & 82 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Science - IEP/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & 76 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading - All | 84\% | 82\% | 82\% | 84\% | 58\% |
| Reading - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84\% } \\ & \text { 84\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \% \\ & 71 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Reading - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & 88 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & 48 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - All | 81\% | 82\% | 76\% | 85\% | 38\% |
| Math - Low Inc/ Others | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81\% } \\ & \text { 89\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & 47 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Math - IEP/ <br> Others | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & 41 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Writing | 60\% | 71\% | DNT | DNT | DNT |

## 2012-2013 (Table 3)

- Observations recorded in other tables with duplicate data.


## 2011-2012 (Table 3)

- Reading and Math scores have dropped for the class of 2017 from $6^{\text {th }}$ to $7^{\text {th }}$ grade.
- Reading and Math scores dropped for the class of 2018 from $6^{\text {th }}$ to $7^{\text {th }}$ grade.
- Three out of the last four years student math scores have decreased from $7^{\text {th }}$ grade to $8^{\text {th }}$ grade.


## 2010-2011 (Table 3)

- The past five years $8^{\text {th }}$ grade Non-IEP students met ISAT Reading standards at $86 \%$ or above.
- $\quad$ Since going to spiraling math program $8^{\text {th }}$ grade math scores show $81 \%$ meeting or exceeding in 2009, $82 \%$ in 2010, and $76 \%$ in 2011.
- The $6^{\text {th }}$ grade students who met or exceeded standards in reading increased 8 percentage points while there was a 1 percentage point decrease in math scores when compared to 2010 ISAT
- The $20116^{\text {th }}$ grade IEP subgroup ISAT reading test scores indicated that three of the five students showed positive growth in reading, while one of the same five students showed growth in math compared to their 2010 ISAT scores.
- The scores for the $20116^{\text {th }}$ grade subgroup containing students with IEP's decreased in reading by 31 percentage points and 46 percentage points in math when compared to 2010 ISAT scores.
- $\quad$ The $20117^{\text {th }}$ grade IEP subgroup ISAT reading test scores indicated that six of the nine students showed positive growth in reading, while six of the same nine students showed growth in math compared to their 2010 ISAT scores.
- The number of $7^{\text {th }}$ grade students with IEP's increased in reading by 5 percentage points while there was an 8 percentage point decrease in math when compared to the 2010 ISAT scores for the same subgroup.
- The $20118^{\text {th }}$ grade IEP subgroup ISAT reading test scores indicated that twelve of the thirteen students showed positive growth in reading, while twelve of the same thirteen students showed growth in math compared to their 2010 ISAT scores.
- The $6^{\text {th }}$ grade students met AYP in reading with $92 \%$ meeting or exceeding on ISAT.
- The $7^{\text {th }}$ grade students did not meet AYP in reading with $77 \%$ meeting or exceeding on ISAT.
- The $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students did not meet AYP in reading with $82 \%$ meeting or exceeding on ISAT.
- The $6^{\text {th }}$ grade students met AYP in math with $90 \%$ meeting or exceeding on ISAT.
- The $7^{\text {th }}$ grade students met AYP in math with $88 \%$ meeting or exceeding on ISAT.
- The $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students did not meet AYP in math with $76 \%$ meeting or exceeding on ISAT. 2009-2010
- Writing is not figured in AYP. However, scores are tracked and data is used to guide instruction.
- In 2010 the IEP students collectively did not meet AYP in all tested areas at all grade levels.
- $\quad 6^{\text {th }}$ grade IEP students from 2009 to 2010 dropped 27 percentage points in math compared to their $5^{\text {th }}$ grade test.
- $\quad$ The percentage of $8^{\text {th }}$ graders improving math scores has increased each year from 2007-2010.
- Although the Class of 2015 has always made AYP in math, the percentage of students meeting or exceeding has decreased or shown little growth every year in math.
- The class of 2015 has improved in reading only one of the past five years.
- Science met AYP every year.
- Low income students scored lower in every area in every grade than non-low income students on the 2010 ISAT.

Table 4a School ISAT Special Education Subgroup Results

|  | $2008-2009$ | $2009-2010$ | $2010-2011$ | $2011-2012$ | $2012-2013$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AYP Goal | $70 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $92.5 \%$ | $92.5 \%$ |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade Reading | $36 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade Math | $36 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Grade Writing | $15.4 \%$ | $68 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade Science | $55 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade Reading | $55 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade Math | $36 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Reading | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Math | $33 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Writing | $26.7 \%$ | $71 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |

Special Education Subgroup based on ISAT meets and exceeds. Notes: Since 07-08, special Education has not been designated subgroup for the middle school due to the lower number of students enrolled in special education.

## 2012-2013 Observations (Table 4a)

- $\quad$ Students with IEPs continue to score below the benchmark.

2011-2012 Observations (Table 4a)

- Math scores went down from the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade to $8^{\text {th }}$ grade for the class of 2016.
- Reading scores went up from $6^{\text {th }}$ grade to $8^{\text {th }}$ grade for the class of 2016.
- Math scores decreased three out of the last four classes from $6^{\text {th }}$ grade to $8^{\text {th }}$ grade.


## 2010-2011 Observations (Table 4a)

- The past 5 years the percentage of IEP students meeting or exceeding standards in math in the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade decreased for the same groups of students on the $7^{\text {th }}$ grade test with the exception of 2009.
- The percentage of IEP students meeting or exceeding standards in math in the $7^{\text {th }}$ grade decreased for the same group of students on the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade test with the exception of 2009.
- $\quad$ Percentage of IEP students meeting or exceeding $5^{\text {th }}$ grade math decreased the past five years.
- The percentage of IEP students meeting or exceeding standards in reading in the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade decreased the last three years for the same groups of students on the $7^{\text {th }}$ grade test.
- $\quad$ The percentage of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade IEP students meeting or exceeding on ISAT has decreased.
- $40 \%$ of $20116^{\text {th }}$ grader IEP students met or exceeded standards in reading and math. In reading, this shows an increase of 20 percentage points from the 2010 test.
- $\quad 67 \%$ of $20117^{\text {th }}$ grade IEP students met or exceeded in science up $47 \%$ points from 2010.
- $\quad 25 \%$ of $20117^{\text {th }}$ grade IEP students met or exceeded in math.
- $\quad$ The number of $20118^{\text {th }}$ grade IEP students who met or exceeded math standards decreased by
- 4 percentage points compared to the $20108^{\text {th }}$ grade IEP students.
- $\quad$ The percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards in math in the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade decreased for the same groups of students on the $7^{\text {th }}$ grade test. One class remained the same while the percentage of students meeting or exceeding decreased.
2009-2010 (Table 4a)
- The middle school does not have an IEP subgroup. The collective IEP group did not meet AYP. However, scores are tracked and data is used to guide instruction.
- IEP students collectively scored highest on the writing portion of the ISAT.

Table 4aa ISAT Special Education Subgroup Growth Chart (2011-2012)
Class of 2016 Math

| Student | $6^{\text {th }}$ | 7th | 8th | 6 th | 7 th | 8th |  | Student | 6 th | 7 th | 8th | 6 6th | 7 th | $8^{\text {th }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16013 | +35 | -2 | +2 | +24 | +6 | -2 |  | 17018 | -20 | +7 | +10 | -17 | +2 | +17 |


|  | M | M | M | M | M | M |  | B | B | W | B | B | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16027 | $\begin{aligned} & +22 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +13 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -15 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +14 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +2 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | 17033 | $\begin{aligned} & -3 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +15 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +19 \\ & \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +23 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -23 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +37 \\ & \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ |
| 16029 | $+2$ | $\begin{aligned} & +19 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -21 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +28 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | 17034 | $\begin{aligned} & -31 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +23 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +6 \\ & \text { W } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -25 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +37 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +15 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |
| 15004 | $\begin{aligned} & -4 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +12 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +16 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | 17046 | $\begin{aligned} & -5 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | $+3$ | $\begin{gathered} -1 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +1 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +21 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |
| 13082 | $\begin{aligned} & +4 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9 \\ & W \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +17 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +5 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -34 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +44 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | 17047 | $\begin{aligned} & +11 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +3 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +9 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |
| 16076 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +1 } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +11 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +25 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -28 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +31 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | 17015 | NA | NA | $\begin{aligned} & +0 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | $\begin{aligned} & -38 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ |
| 16060 | $\begin{aligned} & -6 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +14 } \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -23 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15007 | $\begin{aligned} & -6 \\ & \text { W } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +19 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +14 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +15 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $-7$ | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16066 | $\begin{gathered} -7 \\ B \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +30 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +5 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline-11 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +15 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +5 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15104 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +9 } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +18 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +4 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +0 } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15105 | $\begin{aligned} & +12 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-22 \\ \mathrm{~W} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +35 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +25 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +1 } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +32 \\ & M \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Class of 2 |  | Math |  | Reading |  |  | Class of 2019 |  | Math |  | Reading |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student | $6^{\text {th }}$ | 7th | 8th | 6th | 7th | 8th | Student | 6th | 7th | 8th | 6th | 7th | $8^{\text {th }}$ |
| 18085 | $-50$ | $\begin{aligned} & +6 \\ & w \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -9 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ | $+38$ |  | 18003 | $\begin{aligned} & -42 \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -13 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 18014 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline-38 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +25 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -40 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +6 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |  | 19104 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-6 \\ W \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & +6 \\ & B \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 18019 | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +2 \\ & \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -10 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-16 \\ & \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ |  | 19103 | NA |  |  | NA |  |  |
| 17002 | $\begin{aligned} & -25 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -16 \\ & \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} -54 \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +25 \\ & \mathrm{w} \end{aligned}$ |  | 19075 | $\begin{aligned} & -5 \\ & W \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -20 \\ & \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 17003 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NA} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -24 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -60 \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +28 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |  | 19077 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline-72 \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -37 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19062 | $\begin{aligned} & -15 \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} -4 \\ B \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |

To preserve student autonomy, numbers are used as opposed to student names. Growth was calculated by using the student's previous year's ISAT score and either adding or subtracting points.

## 2012-2013 Observations (Table 4aa)

- For the past three years, only one student score improved on the sixth grade math test from their fifth grade year.
- For the past three years, four out of fifteen student scores improved on the sixth grade reading test from their fifth grade year.
- For the past two years, only one student score decreased on the seventh grade math test from their sixth grade year.
- For the class of 2017, four out of five student scores improved on the eighth grade reading and math test from their seventh grade score.
- For the class of 2018, three out of four student scores improved on the seventh grade reading and math test from their sixth grade year.
- For the class of 2019, one out of four student scores improved on the sixth grade reading test from their fifth grade year.


## 2011-2012 Observations (Table 4aa)

- For the class of 2016, eight out of eleven student scores improved on the eighth grade reading test from their seventh grade score.
- For the class of 2016, eight out of eleven student scores improved on the eighth grade math test from their seventh grade score.
- For the class of 2017, three out of five student scores improved on the seventh grade reading test from their sixth grade score.
- All five student scores from class of 2017 improved in reading from sixth grade to seventh grade.
- For the class of 2018, sixth grade scores dropped in both math and in reading.

2010-2011 Observations (Table 4aa)

- $\quad 67 \%$ of the current eighth grade class showed improvement in math and in reading (6 out of 9).
- $60 \%$ of the current seventh grade students with an IEP increased in reading (3 out of 5).
- $20 \%$ of current seventh graders' scores increased in math on the 2011 ISAT (1 out of 5 ).
- $\quad 12$ out of 13 IEP students (class of 2014) who were tested showed growth in math and reading.
- Four current freshmen with an IEP increased their ISAT reading scores by 20 or more points.
- Five current freshmen with an IEP increased their ISAT math scores by 20 or more points.
- Six current $8^{\text {th }}$ graders with an IEP increased their ISAT math scores by 10 or more points.
- $\quad$ Five current $8^{\text {th }}$ graders with an IEP increased their ISAT reading scores by 10 or more points.
- Two current $7^{\text {th }}$ graders with an IEP increased their ISAT reading scores by 10 or more points.
- $\quad$ Three current $7^{\text {th }}$ graders with an IEP decreased their ISAT math scores by 20 or more points.
- Two current $7^{\text {th }}$ graders with an IEP decreased their ISAT reading scores by 15 or more points. 2009-2010 Observations (Table 4aa)
$8^{\text {th }}$ Grade
- One student was not tested and one student (\#10) did not receive services.
- Eight out of nine students increased in math, four by over twenty-one points.
- Four out of nine went down in reading; three were by seven or less points.
- Three increased reading scores by fifteen or more points.
$7^{\text {th }}$ Grade
- One student participated in the alternative test.
- One student showed a twenty-three point increase in reading.
- One student's reading score remained unchanged.
- Four out of ten student scores went down in reading. (Two by twelve points or more).
- Four out of ten student scores went down in math by five or more points.
- Three students' math scores increased by nine or more points.
$6^{\text {th }}$ Grade
- Six out of nine students went down in math (all seven or less points)
- Five out of nine students went down in reading (four over eleven points)
- Two math scores increased by twenty-two or more points.
- Two reading scores increased by twenty-four or more points.

Overall

- Sixty-one percent of IEP students increased ISAT math scores.
- Fifty percent of IEP students increased ISAT reading scores and one was unchanged.

Table 4b ISAT Low Income Subgroup (percentage of students meeting or exceeding standards)

|  | Rdg <br> $08 / 09$ | Rdg <br> $09 / 10$ | Rdg <br> $10 / 11$ | Rdg <br> $11 / 12$ | Rdg <br> $12 / 13$ | Math <br> $08 / 09$ | Math <br> $09 / 10$ | Math <br> $10 / 11$ | Math <br> $11 / 12$ | Math <br> $12 / 13$ | Sci <br> $08 / 09$ | Sci <br> $09 / 10$ | Sci <br> $10 / 11$ | Sci <br> $11 / 12$ | Sci <br> $12 / 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | $79 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $50 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | $72 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | $78 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $32 \%$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

## 2012-2013 (Table 4b)

- Low income scores have dropped in every area for every grade level.
- Low income scores have dropped at least 20\% in every area.

2011-2012 (Table 4b)

- Math scores went down from the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade to $8^{\text {th }}$ grade for the class of 2016.
- $\quad$ Reading scores went up from $6^{\text {th }}$ grade to $8^{\text {th }}$ grade for the class of 2016.
- Over the past 6 years the percentage of $7^{\text {th }}$ grade low income students meeting or exceeding math standards has decreased from their $6^{\text {th }}$ grade scores.
- $\quad 5$ of the past 6 years the percentage of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade low income students meeting or exceeding math standards has decreased from their $7^{\text {th }}$ grade scores.
2010-2011
- Over the past 5 years the percentage of $7^{\text {th }}$ grade low income students meeting or exceeding math standards has decreased from their $6^{\text {th }}$ grade scores.
- 4 of the past 5 years the percentage of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade low income students meeting or exceeding math standards has decreased from their $7^{\text {th }}$ grade scores.
- 4 of the past 5 years the percentage of $6^{\text {th }}$ grade low income students meeting or exceeding math standards has decreased from their $5^{\text {th }}$ grade scores.
- The percentage of the $20116^{\text {th }}$ grade low income subgroup met AYP at $86 \%$ in reading; this is a 12 percentage point increase from the $20105^{\text {th }}$ grade low income subgroup.
- The percentage of the $20116^{\text {th }}$ grade low income subgroup did not meet AYP in math; this is a 7 percentage point decrease from the $20106^{\text {th }}$ grade low income subgroup.
- The $20117^{\text {th }}$ grade low income subgroup met AYP in math $87 \%$.
- The $20117^{\text {th }}$ grade low income subgroup did not meet AYP in reading at $74 \%$; this is a 2 percentage point increase from the $20106^{\text {th }}$ grade low income subgroup in reading.
- The $20118^{\text {th }}$ grade low income subgroup did not meet AYP ( $85 \%$ ) in reading due to $79 \%$ of students meeting or exceeding. However, there was a 9 percentage point increase from the $20107^{\text {th }}$ grade low income subgroup in reading.
- Low income students in the class of 2015 math scores decreased each of the past three years.
- Low income students in the class of 2016 math scores decreased each of the past four years.
- Low income students in the class of 2016 reading scores increased every year prior to 2010.

Table 4c ISAT Gender (Male) Subgroup Score
Adequately Yearly Progress Data
Data shows percent of students who meet or exceed on ISAT and PSAE.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & \text { WC } \\ & \text { Male } \end{aligned}$ | 2009 <br> State <br> Male | 2010 <br> WC <br> Male | 2010 <br> State <br> Male | 2011 <br> WC <br> Male | 2011 <br> State <br> Male | 2012 <br> WC <br> Male | 2012 <br> State <br> Male | 2013 <br> WC <br> Male | 2013 <br> State <br> Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 61\% | 69\% | 78\% | 74\% | 72\% | 72\% | 81.8\% | 72.8\% | 64\% | 54\% |
| Math | 83\% | 85\% | 88\% | 86\% | 100\% | 87\% | 84.9\% | 87.5\% | 67\% | 56\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 82\% | 70\% | 95\% | 86\% | 86\% | 71\% | 86.2\% | 72\% | 50\% | 56\% |
| Math | 95\% | 85\% | 93\% | 86\% | 100\% | 86\% | 93.1\% | 87.1\% | 68\% | 60\% |
| Science | 92\% | 77\% | 82\% | 77\% | 97\% | 79\% | 93.1\% | 79.7\% | 85\% | 81\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 57\% | 70\% | 81\% | 71\% | 68\% | 74\% | 82.0\% | 74.3\% | 67\% | 56\% |
| Math | 93\% | 81\% | 86\% | 82\% | 89\% | 83\% | 87.2\% | 82.4\% | 77\% | 59\% |
| 6th Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 72\% | 77\% | 64\% | 78\% | 89\% | 81\% | 59.0\% | 78.4\% | 49\% | 54\% |
| Math | 76\% | 81\% | 93\% | 83\% | 89\% | 83\% | 69.2\% | 83.7\% | 60\% | 58\% |
| 7th grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 79\% | 73\% | 69\% | 74\% | 63\% | 75\% | 75.0\% | 74.4\% | 29\% | 54\% |
| Math | 79\% | 81\% | 76\% | 83\% | 82\% | 82\% | 83.3\% | 82.6\% | 37\% | 57\% |
| Science | 85\% | 79\% | 71\% | 82\% | 93\% | 81\% | 80.6\% | 78.2\% | 74\% | 77\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 87\% | 80\% | 74.\% | 81\% | 79\% | 82\% | 77.4\% | 82.6\% | 40\% | 55\% |
| Math | 81\% | 81\% | 71\% | 82\% | 68\% | 84\% | 74.2\% | 82.8\% | 26\% | 57\% |

Table 4c ISAT Gender (Female) Subgroup Scores
Adequately Yearly Progress Data
Data shows percent of students who meet or exceed on ISAT and PSAE.


| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 81\% | 76\% | 89\% | 77\% | 75.0\% | 98\% | 88.4\% | 79.5\% | 63\% | 64\% |
| Math | 81\% | 85\% | 97\% | 86\% | 89.3\% | 87.8\% | 92.3\% | 88.0\% | 56\% | 54\% |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 81\% | 77\% | 81\% | 77\% | 91.9\% | 78.4\% | 84.4\% | 80.2\% | 69\% | 63\% |
| Math | 95\% | 87\% | 91\% | 87\% | 100\% | 88.6\% | 93.8\% | 89.2\% | 81\% | 61\% |
| Science | 89\% | 77\% | 81\% | 77\% | 86.5\% | 79.4\% | 78.2\% | 79.8\% | 85\% | 81\% |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 83\% | 77\% | 89\% | 79\% | 90\% | 79.6\% | 91.4\% | 81.5\% | 65\% | 62\% |
| Math | 85\% | 84\% | 100\% | 84\% | 83.3\% | 85.1\% | 100\% | 84.8\% | 77\% | 60\% |
| 6th Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 87\% | 83\% | 85\% | 85\% | 94.2\% | 87.8\% | 87.1\% | 85\% | 59\% | 64\% |
| Math | 87\% | 84\% | 89\% | 86\% | 91.5\% | 85.5\% | 80.6\% | 86.3\% | 65\% | 61\% |
| 7th grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 93\% | 82\% | 86\% | 82\% | 85.1\% | 83.4\% | 84.3\% | 82.0\% | 43\% | 63\% |
| Math | 98\% | 85\% | 89\% | 86\% | 91.5\% | 86.5\% | 89.4\% | 86.7\% | 55\% | 61\% |
| Science | 93\% | 80\% | 92\% | 82\% | 83\% | 83\% | 89.5\% | 81.6\% | 71\% | 81\% |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 79\% | 87\% | 88\% | 88\% | 88.2\% | 88.3\% | 87.5\% | 90.0\% | 78\% | 65\% |
| Math | 79\% | 83\% | 90\% | 86\% | 85.3\% | 88.2\% | 87.6\% | 87.2\% | 50\% | 60\% |

## 2012-2013 Observations (Tables 4c)

- More females met or exceeded on the 2013 ISAT in all areas except science ( $3 \%$ more males met).
- $\quad 6^{\text {th }}$ grade males and females scored above the state average in math.
- $\quad 8^{\text {th }}$ grade females scored above the state average in reading.


## 2011-2012 Observations (Tables 4c)

- The number of sixth grade males' that met or exceeded in both math and reading dropped from their fifth grade year.
- $\quad$ Seventh grade males scored above state average in math, reading and science.
- $\quad$ Seventh grade girls scored above state average in math, reading and science.
- Over the last three years, each eighth grade class's math scores have decreased from the previous year.


## 2010-2011 Observations (Table 4c)

- $\quad 6^{\text {th }}$ grade male math and reading scores were the same at $88.9 \%$
- $\quad 7^{\text {th }}$ grade reading scores for males were 22 points lower than for girls.
- $\quad 7^{\text {th }}$ grade males scored nearly 10 points higher than girls in science.
- $\quad 6^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}$, and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade girls scored higher than males in every area except science.
- $\quad 6^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}$, and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade females scored higher than the state average in every area except $8^{\text {th }}$ grade math.

2009-2010 Observations (Table 4c)

- Females outscored males in all areas except $6^{\text {th }}$ grade math.
- No female scores for 2010 were below the state average.
- Male ISAT scores for 2010 are below the state average in all areas except $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ grade math.
- Both male and female $6^{\text {th }}$ graders' scores have decreased over the past three years in reading.
- Males' $7^{\text {th }}$ grade science scores have decreased over the past 3 years.

Table 4e EXPLORE Test (8th Grade Only)

|  | Target |  | 2009 <br> 2010 | 2010 <br> 2011 | 2011 <br> 2012 | 2012 <br> 2013 | 2013 <br> 2014 | 2009 <br> 2010 | 2010 <br> 2011 | 2011 <br> 2012 | 2012 <br> 2013 | 2013 <br> 2014 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject |  | Fall | Fall | Fall | Fall | Fall |  | Spring | Spring | Spring | *Winter | *Winter |  |
| English | 13 | 15.0 | 13.7 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 13.1 |  | 16.5 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.2 | 14 |  |
| Math | 17 | 16.3 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 14.3 | 14.2 |  | 17.1 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 14.9 | 14.9 |  |
| Reading | 15 |  | 15.8 | 14.4 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 13.8 |  | 17.2 | 15.4 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 14.2 |
| Science | 20 |  | 16.7 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 16.2 | 15.7 |  | 17.6 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 16.8 | 16.2 |
| Composite | 15 |  | 16.0 | 14.9 | 14.6 | 14.7 | 14.4 |  | 17.2 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 15 |

*The second assessment was changed from March to January. Students are expected to meet the target scores at the end of $8^{\text {th }}$.

## Five year trend (Table 4e)

- For 5 years spring EXPLORE scores have exceeded targets in English, reading, and composite.
- Over the past 5 years fall EXPLORE test scores have not met target scores in math and science.
- Over the past 5 years, spring EXPLORE composite scores have increased over fall scores.

2012-2013 (Fall) Table 4e

- Overall class fall scores have decreased each year.

2012-2013 (Winter) Table 4e

- This is the first year that students have taken the EXPLORE test in January as compared to April in previous years.
- $\quad$ Showed growth in every area from fall 2012 to winter 2013.
- Students met the benchmark scores in English, Reading and Composite on January assessment. 2011-2012 (Fall) (Table 4e)
- The average scores of $8^{\text {th }}$ graders in the fall 2011 are lower in every area than the $8^{\text {th }}$ grades in the fall of 2010.
- $\quad 8^{\text {th }}$ graders only met the target for English in the fall of 2011.

2011-2012 (Spring) (Table 4e)

- In each class scores increased from fall to spring in all subjects every year.
- Average scores in English, reading, and composite exceeded target scores.

2010-2011 (Fall) (Table 4e)

- Average scores of 8th graders in the fall of 2010 are lower in every area than fall of 2009.

2010-2011 (Spring) (Table 4e)

- On the spring 2011 EXPLORE Test as compared to the Fall 2010 testing the English scores increased 0.9 points, math scores 0.7 , reading 1.0 , science 0.8 and composite 0.8 points.
- $\quad 8^{\text {th }}$ graders met in English and reading in the spring of 2011.
- $\quad 8^{\text {th }}$ grade students surpassed the target score by the greatest margin in English.
- All scores increased from fall to spring.
- Even though English scores in the fall of 2010 were lower than the fall of 2009 , they were still above the target.


## 2009-2010 (Table 4e)

- On the spring 2010 EXPLORE Test as compared to the Fall 2009 testing the English scores increased 1.5 points, math scores 0.8 , reading 1.4 , science 0.9 and composite 1.2 points.
- For the past four years scores in all areas of EXPLORE have increased from fall to spring.
- Students met in all areas except science on the spring assessment.
- Students surpassed the target score by the greatest margin in English.
- Students achieved higher scores than all previous classes in all areas except science.
- Science was the highest score in the fall 2009 testing.

Table 4f EXPLORE Test Results by Subject and Gender

| Target | $2009-2010$ <br> Fall | $2010-2011$ <br> Fall | 2011-2012 <br> Fall | 2012-2013 <br> Fall | 2013-2014 <br> Fall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eng | 13 | 13.6 | 16.1 | 12.6 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 14.1 | 11.8 | 14.6 | 12.4 | 13.7 |
| Math | 17 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 14.6 | 15.1 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 13.5 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 14.5 |
| Rdg | 15 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 13.4 | 15.6 | 12.5 | 15.3 | 12.4 | 16.4 | 13.2 | 14.5 |
| Sci | 20 | 15.9 | 17.3 | 15.6 | 16.8 | 15.0 | 16.3 | 15.5 | 16.8 | 15.0 | 16.3 |
| Comp | 15 | 15.1 | 16.7 | 14.1 | 15.8 | 13.5 | 15.2 | 13.5 | 15.8 | 13.8 | 14.9 |


| Target | 2009-2010 <br> Spring | 2010-2011 <br> Spring | 2011-2012 <br> Spring | 2012-2013 <br> Spring | 2013-2014 <br> Spring |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem | Male | Fem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eng | 13 | 14.9 | 17.0 | 13.6 | 15.9 | 13.0 | 15.4 | 12.9 | 15.5 | 13.4 | 14.6 |
| Math | 17 | 16.0 | 17.5 | 15.3 | 15.9 | 14.6 | 15.7 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 14.6 | 15.2 |
| Rdg | 15 | 15.3 | 17.8 | 14.2 | 16.8 | 13.5 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 17.2 | 13.6 | 14.8 |
| Sci | 20 | 16.6 | 17.9 | 16.3 | 17.6 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 16.0 | 17.6 | 15.9 | 16.5 |
| Comp | 15 | 15.9 | 17.6 | 15.0 | 16.7 | 14.4 | 16.2 | 14.2 | 16.5 | 14.5 | 15.5 |

## 2013-2014 (Table 4f)

- Males and Females scores increased from Fall to Winter.
- Females exceeded the target score in composite.
- Males and Females exceeded the target score in English.
- Changing the test from April to January did not result in a significant decline in growth.


## 2012-2013 Fall Testing (Table 4f)

- Males' scores decreased in three out of five categories over the past five years.

2012-2013 Winter Testing (Table 4f)

- Females scored higher than males in all areas.
- The average girls' score met benchmarks in English, reading and composite.
- The average scores of males did not meet benchmarks in English, reading, and composite.

2011-2012 Fall Testing (Table 4f)

- Males and females scored lower this year than last year.
- Males did not meet in any areas.
- Females met in English and reading.

2011-2012 Spring Testing (Table 4f)

- Three out of four years female scores have decreased in all areas.
- Male scores decreased every year for the past four years.

2010-2011 Fall Testing (Table 4f)

- Males did not make target score in any area.
- Males scored lower than any other year.
- Females scored lower this year than last year.
- Females did achieve target scores in English, reading, and composite.


## 2010-2011 Spring Testing (Table 4f)

- Females scored higher than males in every category.
- Males and females scored higher in all categories from fall to spring.
- Males made target score in English and composite.
- Females made target score in English, reading and composite.
- $\quad$ All scores for males and females dropped in all areas from 2009-2010 to 2010-2011. 2009-2010 Fall Testing (Table 4f)
- Females scored higher in every category than the females of fall of 2007 and 2008.
- Females scored higher than males in every category.
- Males scored higher in math than the 2 previous years.
- Males scored lower in science and reading than the 2 previous years.

2009-2010 Spring Testing (Table 4f)

- $\quad$ Scores increased in every category (except males in math).
- Females scored higher than males in every category.
- Males increased 1.3 in English from fall to spring; females increased 0.9 in English.
- The gender gap increased.
- Males' scores dropped in all areas from 2008-2009 to 2009-2010.
- Females met all target areas except science.
- Males met target in English and reading.
- Males increased in all areas from fall to spring except in math.
- Females increased in all areas from fall to spring.

2008-2009 Fall Testing (Table 4f)

- On average, males scored 1.3 points higher than females in math.
- Four of the areas show comparable scores between males and females.

2008-2009 Spring Testing (Table 4f)

- Local gender groups are comparable.
- Males met all target scores except in science in spring 2008-2009.
- Females met all target scores except in math and science for the past three years.
- Both gender groups met composite score target.

Table 4g EXPLORE Test: Special Education Subgroup

| Subject | Target Score | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ 2009 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ 2010 \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ 2011 \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fall } \\ 2012 \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | Fall 2013 2014 | Spring 2009 <br> 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring } \\ 2010 \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Spring 2011 <br> 2012 | Winter 2012 <br> 2013 | Winter 2013 <br> 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English | 13 | 9.6 | 10.5 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 11.3 | 10 | 9.3 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 10 |
| Math | 17 | 6.3 | 10.1 | 11.2 | 12.1 | 12 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 12 |
| Reading | 15 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 11.9 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 10.67 |
| Science | 20 | 10.7 | 12.6 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 15 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 11.67 |
| Composite | 15 | 9.4 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.8 | 10.67 |

2013-2014 Fall Test - Special Education (Table 4g)

- As compared to the Fall of 2013, student scores dropped in three of the five areas (English, science, and composite), stayed the same in one area (math) and showed .44 improvement in reading.


## 2013-2014 Fall Test - Special Education (Table 4g)

- Fall scores reflect the highest scores of special education subgroup over the past five years. 2012-2013 Fall Test - Special Education (Table 4g)
- English and math scores were higher than the prior fall scores.
- Composite score remained the same.
- Fall scores are at least four points below the target score in all categories.

2012-2013 Spring Test - Special Education (Table 4g) *Second assessment was taken in January

- There was slight growth in every area except math.
- On average students did not hit benchmarks in any area.

2011-2012 Fall Test - Special Education (Table 4g)

- Students scored lower in the fall of 2011-2012 in English and reading than the previous year.
- $\quad$ Students scored higher in math and science in fall of 2011-2012 than the 4 previous years.

2011-2012 Spring Test - Special Education (Table 4g)

- $\quad$ Student composite scores from fall to spring remain below target score.

2010-2011 Fall Test - Special Education (Table 4g)

- This group's composite score was higher than those for the past 3 years.
- Students scored higher in the fall of 2010 than they did in the fall of 2009 in every area.
- Although no one met the target score the students came closest in English.
- Students continue to have their lowest scores in science.

2010-2011 Spring Test - Special Education (Table 4g)

- Student scores improved from fall to spring in math and science.
- Students scored below the target scores in all areas.
- Composite scores have decreased every year.
- Compared to the previous year 2009-2010, the scores are lower in English and reading.

2009-2010 Fall Test - Special Education (Table 4g)

- Lower in every category compared to the past 2 years.
- Special education students score below the target scores in all areas.

2009-2010 Spring Test - Special Education (Table 4g)

- Biggest gains were in math and science.
- Special education students score below the target scores in all areas.
- All areas showed improvement from fall testing.
- Compared to the previous year 2008-2009, the scores are lower except in reading.
- Composite scores have decreased every year.

|  | $2009-2010$ | $2010-2011$ | $2011-2012$ | $2012-2013$ | $2013-2014$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Fall | Wint | Spr | Fall | Wint | Spr | Fall | Wint | Spr | Fall | Wint | Spr | Fall | Wint | Spr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \quad 6^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { Grade } \\ & \text { Target } \end{aligned}$ | 125 | 140 | 150 | 125 | 140 | 150 | 125 | 140 | 150 | 125 | 140 | 150 | 125 | 140 | 150 |
| \# tested | 74 | 75 | 74 | 73 | 73 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 70 | 74 | 76 | 74 | 62 | 64 |  |
| \# met | 12 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 11 |  |
| \% met | 16\% | 8\% | 4\% | 14\% | 12\% | 11\% | 14\% | 14\% | 13\% | 12\% | 11\% | 11\% | 23\% | 17\% |  |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ <br> Grade Target | 125 | 140 | 150 | 125 | 140 | 150 | 128 | 136 | 150 | 128 | 136 | 150 | 128 | 136 | 150 |
| \# tested | 80 | 78 | 78 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 71 | 73 | 72 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 74 | 76 |  |
| \# met | 28 | 21 | 30 | 27 | 37 | 51 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 28 | 33 | 29 | 39 | 41 |  |
| \% met | 35\% | 27\% | 38\% | 40\% | 51\% | 70\% | 49\% | 47\% | 49\% | 45\% | 52\% | 45\% | 53\% | 54\% |  |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ <br> Grade Target | 130 | 140 | 150 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 133 | 146 | 151 | 133 | 146 | 151 |
| \# tested | 78 | 77 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 79 | 76 | 78 | 76 | 73 | 74 | 74 | 62 | 62 |  |
| \# met | 47 | 47 | 45 | 33 | 33 | 45 | 33 | 38 | 41 | 35 | 34 | 42 | 34 | 38 |  |
| \% met | 61\% | 61\% | 59\% | 43\% | 43\% | 57\% | 43\% | 49\% | 54\% | 48\% | 46\% | 57\% | 59\% | 61\% |  |

Note: Reading Fluency program was started in 2007-2008 with $8^{\text {th }}$ graders. As additional grades were added, the number of evaluators and methods of interpretation of data differed. As of 2010-2011 one individual is responsible for interpretation of data for the middle school.

## 2012-2013 (Table 4h)

- No significant growth from Fall to Winter at any grade level.
- The percentage of students who met the benchmark decreased from Fall to Winter for $6^{\text {th }}$ grade students.
2011-2012 (Table 4h)
- There was $33 \%$ drop from the class of 2017 from spring of their $7^{\text {th }}$ grade year to the fall of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade.


## 2010-2011 (Table 4h)

- $\quad 8^{\text {th }}$ grade fluency increased from $7^{\text {th }}$ grade in all three seasons, fall, winter, and spring from $8 \%$ to $19 \%$ when compared to 2009-2010 scores.
- $\quad 7$ th grade fluency increased from $6^{\text {th }}$ grade dramatically compared to 2009-2010 scores.
- $\quad 6^{\text {th }}$ grade students meeting fluency decreased $3 \%$ from fall to spring.
- $\quad 7^{\text {th }}$ grade fluency increased $30 \%$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade increased $14 \%$.
- Current $7^{\text {th }}$ graders meeting recommended fluency target increased from $8 \%$ to $51 \%$ from the winter 2010 to the winter 2011.
- The current $8^{\text {th }}$ graders meeting recommended fluency target increased from $27 \%$ to $43 \%$ from the winter 2010 to the winter 2011.
- Approximately $25 \%$ of the $7^{\text {th }}$ graders in 2009-2010 met the target compared to the $7^{\text {th }}$ grade in 2008-2009
- Approximately $50 \%$ of the $8^{\text {th }}$ graders in 2009-2010 met the target compared to their previous year scores


## Summary of Assessment

Our middle school scores on ISAT for boys and girls fall behind the state average in nearly all areas starting in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade. Extended response in both reading and math continues to be a challenge for the middle school. Science scores have exceeded the state average on the ISAT every year except 2012-2013. The percentage of students meeting on our end-of-year report card grades does not reflect the same student performance on ISAT and other assessments.

Reading Placement Appraisal (Based on Meeting/Exceeding Grade Level) - 2015-2016
From Reading Plus - discontinued 2016

| 6th Grade | 2015-2016 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pretest | $38 \%$ |
| Midpoint | $48 \%$ |
| Posttest |  |
| 7th Grade |  |
| Pretest | $39 \%$ |
| Midpoint | $59 \%$ |
| Posttest |  |
| 8th Grade | $42 \%$ |
| Pretest | $53 \%$ |
| Midpoint |  |
| Posttest |  |

## 2015 (Table 4)

- All grade levels are showing improvement in having more students read at grade level.

Table 7 Discipline Referrals by Type of Infraction (End of Year Report)

|  | 2014-2015 |  |  | 2015-2016 |  |  | 2016-2017 |  |  | 2017-2018 |  |  | 2018-2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}=$ passive aggressive VA=verbal aggressive PA=physical aggressive | P | VA | PA | P | VA | PA | P | VA | PA | P | VA | PA | P | VA | PA |
| Total Per category | 166 | 98 | 107 | 180 | 158 | 75 | 385 | 30 | 67 | 478 | 41 | 43 |  |  |  |
| Yearly Totals | 371 |  |  | 413 |  |  | 482 |  |  | 562 |  |  |  |  |  |

Passive aggressive is defined as a student who repeatedly refuses to do what is asked when asked.

## 2017-2018 <br> (Table 7)

- Office discipline referrals continued to increase from 482 in the 2016-17 school year to 562 in the 2018-19 school year.
- Office referrals for physical aggression were reduced from 75 to 43 in the last reported year. 2016-2017 (Table 7)
- Total number of office referrals increased from 413 in the 2015-2016 school year to 482 in the 2016-2017 school year.
- Passive aggressive referrals increased from 180 in the 2015-2016 school year to 385 in the 2016-2017 school year.
- Verbal aggressive referrals decreased from 158 in the 2015-2016 school year to 30 in the 2016-2017 school year.

Table 8 Discipline Referral Totals by Grade and Gender (End of Year Report)

|  | Males <br> 2014 <br> 2015 | Males <br> 2015 <br> 2016 | Males <br> 2016 <br> 2017 | Males <br> 2017 <br> 2018 | Males <br> 2018 <br> 2019 | Females <br> 2014 <br> 2015 | Females <br> 2015 <br> 2016 | Females <br> 2016 <br> 2017 | Females <br> 2017 <br> 2018 | Females <br> 2018 <br> 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 89 | 194 | 134 | 31 |  |  | 12 | 35 | 22 | 14 |  |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 38 | 75 | 141 | 191 |  |  | 13 | 12 | 65 | 14 |  |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 103 | 74 | 93 | 218 |  |  | 12 | 26 | 27 | 94 |  |
| ALL | 230 | 343 | 368 | 440 |  |  | 37 | 73 | 114 | 122 |  |

## 2017-2018 (Table 8)

- Males continue to receive more referrals than females.
- More referrals are made in the 8th grade with each cohort that has been tracked for three years.


## 2016-2017 (Table 8)

- Over the last five years, males received more referrals than females.
- Over the last five years, the total number of discipline referrals for both male and female has increased.

2015-2016 (Table 8)

- Over the last five years, males received more referrals than females.
- Significant increase in overall number of referrals (for both males and females).

